

Appln. No.: 10/617,625  
Attorney Docket No. 352000-902002

**REMARKS**

In reply to the office action mailed January 16, 2007, Applicants hereby responds to the restriction requirement as follows.

Although for the reasons stated below Applicants respectfully traverse this requirement, Applicants provisionally elect Group I claims 17-22.

Applicants respectfully traverse the requirement. Claims 24-27 were inadvertently kept in the instant application in addition to being properly presented in the related/parallel divisional application (Appln. No. 10/618,344), where they more correctly belong. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully correct this inadvertent oversight, and hereby cancel claims 24-27 from the present application without prejudice or disclaimer, so they may be examined with claims 13-16 in related/parallel application No. 10/618,344. Applicants also traverse both because the "species" have not been appropriately identified (see MPEP §806.04(e)), and because the Examiner has not met the burden required to sufficiently establish the need for restriction.

Finally, with respect to the requested identification of the elected "species," as best understood, claims 17-22 encompass the subject matter Applicants elect.

It is respectfully submitted that the remaining claims (claims 17-22) are in an allowable form, and favorable action to that end is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit in the overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 07-1896 referencing Attorney Docket No. 352000-902002.

Respectfully submitted,  
DLA PIPER U.S. LLP

Dated: January 29, 2007

By: 

Andrew B. Schwaab  
Reg. No. 38,611  
Attorneys for Applicants

DLA Piper US LLP  
2000 University Avenue  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
Attorney Direct: (650) 833-2258  
[andrew.schwaab@dlapiper.com](mailto:andrew.schwaab@dlapiper.com)

PAN0488748.1

10